

Biological Forum – An International Journal

14(2a): 13-19(2022)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

# Evaluation of Genetic variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Grain Yield components in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Mohammad Salman<sup>1\*</sup>, S.C. Vimal<sup>1</sup>, Prakriti Chauhan<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Amir<sup>2</sup>, Arshad Husain<sup>3</sup> and Sony Grace<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding (Seed Technology Section), University of Agriculture & Technology, Kumarganj Ayodhya (Uttar Pradesh), India. <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Lovely Professional University (Punjab), India. <sup>3</sup>Department of Crop Improvement Divesion, Indian Pulse Research Institute, Kanpur (Uttar Pradesh), India. <sup>4</sup>Forest Tree Seed laboratory, Forest Research Institute, Dehradun (Uttarakhand), India.

> (Corresponding author: Mohammad Salman\*) (Received 25 April 2022, Accepted 14 June, 2022) (Published by Research Trend, Website: www.researchtrend.net)

ABSTRACT: Higher grain yield/production is the primary requirement to feed the fast growing population in the world, and the improvement of any trait mainly depends on magnitude of genetic variability present in base population. In this regard present investigation consists of 25 rice varieties and the experiment was conducted during Kharif 2020 and 2021 in Randomized Block Design with three replications. The data were recorded for 7 quantitative characters to study genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and varieties performance. On the basis of mean performance, high seed yield per plant were exhibited by the varieties NDR-8002, CR Sugandha dhan-908, HUR-105, CR Sugandha dhan-910 and HUR-3022. Analysis of variance among 25 varieties showed significant difference for all characters studied. Highest genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) & phenotypic coefficient variation (PCV) was observed for seed yield per plant that these characters could be used as selection for crop improvement. High evaluation of heritability was observed for traits days to 50% flowering, Plant height (cm), Number of tillers/plant, Panicle length (cm), Number of grains/panicle, Test weight (g) and yield/plant. High genetic advance were observed for number of traits days to 50% flowering, Plant height (cm), Number of tillers/plant, Panicle length (cm), Number of grains/panicle, Test weight (g) and yield/plant indicating predominance of additive gene effects and possibilities of effective selection for the improvement of these characters.

Keywords: Genetic variability, Heritability, Rice, Genetic advance, GCV and PCV.

## INTRODUCTION

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important field crops after wheat in the world providing staple food to the basket of millions. It is an indispensable source of calories for almost half of the population within Asia. Almost 95% of the rice production happens in Asian countries and nearly half of the global population consumes it. Rice cultivation ranks third in agricultural commodity production, after sugarcane and maize (Priya et al., 2019). Rice is grown in more than a hundred countries with a total harvested area of approximately166.47 million hectares producing around 513.03 MMT annually (World Agriculture Production, USDA, 2022). For any crop improvement it depends on magnitude of genetic variability present in base population. Environmental effects influence the total observable variations of quantitative traits. Therefore, partitioning of overall variance due to

genetic and non-genetic causes becomes necessary for effective breeding programme. The genotypic coefficient of variation estimates the heritable variability, whereas phenotypic component measures the role of environment on the genotype. High PCV and low GCV for a character indicated high influence of environment in its expression. The phenomenon of transmission of characters from parents to offspring is usually measured in terms of heritability. Therefore the estimates of heritability and genetic advance would help to formulate a sound breeding programme. In view of above facts, the present investigation was carried out to estimate the variability, heritability and genetic advance present in germplasm collection.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material for the present study consisted of 25 rice varieties which studies were

conducted during Kharif Season 2020 and 2021 at Crop Research Station, Masodha, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumargani, Ayodhya. The experimental material for the present investigation consisted of Twenty five rice varieties which were obtained from CRS, Masodha, Ayodhya, BHU, Varanasi and NRRI, Cuttack. The varieties are sown in a randomized block design with three replications with spacing of  $20 \times 15$  cm. The nursery was raised on first week of August and transplanted after 24 days. Data were recorded on five randomly selected plants in each entry in each replications for the

traits days to 50% flowering, Plant height (cm), Number of tillers/plant, Panicle length (cm), Number of grains/panicle, Test weight (g) and yield/plant. The data subjected to INDOSTAT software to estimate Genetic coefficient of variation (%), phenotypic coefficient of variation (%), Heritability (%) (Broadsense) and Genetic Advance as percent of mean. The estimates for variability treated as per the categorization proposed by Siva Subramanian and Madhavamenon 4, heritability and genetic advance as percent of mean estimates according to criteria proposed by Johnson et al. (1955).

Sr. No.	Varieties	Sr. No.	Varieties		
1.	NDR 2064	14.	HUR-917		
2.	NDR 97	15.	HUBR-2-1		
3.	NDR 8002	16.	Nua Dhusara		
4.	NDR 3112	17.	Nua Chinikamini		
5.	Sambha Sub 1	18.	Keteki joha		
6.	NDGR 201	19.	CR Sugandha dhan-907		
7.	NDR 359	20.	CR Sugandha dhan-908		
8.	Sarjoo 52	21.	Poorna Bhog		
9.	HUR-11-3	22.	Geetanjali		
10.	HUR-1309	23.	CR Sugandha dhan-909		
11.	HUR-1304	24.	CR Sugandha dhan-910		
12.	HUR-105	25.	Nua Kalajeera		
13.	HUR-3022				

Table 1: List of rice genotypes used in the study.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

On the basis of mean performance of yield and yield contributing traits NDR-8002 was highest vielder followed by CR Sugandha dhan-908, HUR-105, CR Sugandha dhan-910 and HUR-3022. For 1000-grain weight (g) Narendra- 3112 and HUR-11-3 were good. Plant height of NDR-2064 was best followed by Nua Chinikamini and Geetanjali (Table 3).

Days to 50% flowering: The statistical analysis of data showed that's there was wide variability among the twenty-five varieties during both the years' basis data. During the year 2020, days to 50% flowering among the twenty five varieties was ranged from 81.67 -122.67 days while the general mean calculated for the same was 106.16. During 2021, variety NDR-97 took minimum days (81.67) while variety Nua Kalajeera took maximum days (122.67) to attain 50% flowering.

During the year 2021, the general mean calculated for days to 50% flowering of all the twenty five varieties was 106.89 and it ranged from 82.00 to 121.67. Variety NDR-97 showed least number of days (82 days) while Nua Kalajeera showed maximum number of days (121.67 days) to 50% flowering as presented in (Table 3). The graphs regarding these findings have been shown in Fig. 2, 3, 4.

Plant Height (cm): Plant height of all twenty five varieties varied significantly during both the year of experimentation. During the year 2020, plant height (cm) of all the varieties ranged from 90.67cm to 148.73cm while the average plant height of all the varieties was found to be 118.07cm. Maximum plant height (148.73cm) was recorded in variety NDR-2064 whereas minimum plant height (90.67cm) was recorded in CR Sugandha dhan-907.

During the year 2021, plant height of all the varieties ranged from 92.03cm to 150.80cm and the average plant height of these twenty five varieties was 119.20cm. Minimum plant height (92.03cm) was attained by variety CR Sugandha dhan-907 while maximum plant height (150.80cm) was attained by variety Nua Dhusara as presented in (Table 3). The graphs regarding these findings have been shown in Fig. 2, 3, 4.

Number of tillers per plant: Analysis of data pertaining to number of tillers per plant among twenty five varieties was found to be significantly variable during both the years basis. During the year 2020, overall mean of number of tillers per plant of all twenty five varieties was 13.77 and it ranged from 9.33 to 24.33 with maximum number of tillers per plant (24.33) in variety HUR-3022 and minimum (9.33) in Sarjoo-52. During the year 2021, the general mean calculated for number of tillers of all the varieties was 14.32 and it ranged from 10.33 to 24.33. Maximum number of tillers per plant (24.33) during the year 2021 was

Biological Forum – An International Journal 14(2a): 13-19(2022) Salman et al.,

recorded in HUR-3022 while it was minimum (10.33) in Sarjoo-52 as presented in (Table 3). The graphs regarding these findings have been shown in Fig. 2, 3, 4.

**Panicle Length (cm):** The interpretation of data regarding panicle length (cm) of both the years basis showed that there was wide variability among the twenty-five varieties. During the year 2020, panicle length of twenty five varieties ranged from 21.50cm–29.97cmwhile the general mean calculated for the panicle length of all the twenty five varieties was 25.13cm. Maximum panicle length (29.97cm) was recorded in varieties Poorna Bhog while minimum (21.50cm) in NDGR-201.

During the year 2021, the general mean calculated for panicle length (cm) of all the twenty five varieties was 24.76cm and it ranged from 21.13cm to 29.20 cm. Maximum panicle length (29.20cm) was recorded in Poorna Bhog whereas minimum panicle length (21.13cm) was recorded in variety CR Sugandha Dhan -907 as presented in (Table 3). The graphs regarding these findings have been shown in Fig. 2, 3, 4.

**Number of grains per panicle:** Statistical analysis of data of both the years and on pooled basis showed that there was significant difference in the number of grains per panicle among the twenty-five varieties. During the year 2020, number of grains per panicle in all the twenty five varieties ranged from 128 to 208while the general mean calculated for the number of grains per panicle for all the twenty five varieties was 159.96. Maximum number of grains per panicle (208) was recorded in varieties Keteki Joha while minimum (128) in NDR-359.

During the year 2021, the general mean calculated for number of grains per panicle of all the twenty five varieties was 159.39 and it ranged from 125 to 206. Maximum number of grains per panicle (206) was recorded in Keteki Joha whereas minimum panicle length (125) was recorded in variety CR Sugandha Dhan-907 as presented in (Table 3). The graphs regarding these findings have been shown in Fig. 2, 3, 4.

**1000-seed weight (g):** Statistical analysis of data of both the years basis showed that 1000-seed weight differed significantly and variably among all twenty-five varieties. During the year 2020, 1000-seed weight of all the twenty five varieties ranged from 20g to 26.03g, while the general mean calculated for the1000-seed weight of all the twenty five varieties was 23.32g. Maximum 1000-seed weight (26.03g) was recorded in variety NDR-3112 while minimum (20g) in CR Sugandhadhan 907.

During the year 2021, the general mean calculated for<br/>1000-seed weight of all the twenty five varieties was<br/>23.77g and it ranged from 20.77g to 26.47g. Maximum<br/>1000-seed weight (26.47g) was recorded in NDR-3112<br/>whereas minimum 1000-seed weight (20.77g) was(cm) (Y1 99.10%)<br/>grains/panicle (Y1 99.<br/>50% flowering (Y1 97.<br/>length (cm) (Y1 95.72<br/>/plant (g) (Y1 89.02%)Salman et al.,Biological Forum - An International Journal14(2a): 13-19(2022)

recorded in variety CR Sugandha Dhan-908 as presented in (Table 3). The graphs regarding these findings have been shown in Fig. 2, 3, 4.

**Seed Yield/Plant (g):** Statistical analysis of data collected during both the year of experiment analysis presented in (Table 3) revealed that there was wide variability in the yield/plant (g) of all twenty five varieties. During the year 2020, yield/plant of all the varieties used in the experiment ranged from 34.28g to 51.30g. The general mean for the yield/plant (g) of all the varieties was 39.76g. Maximum yield/plant (51.30g) was obtained in NDR-8002 while lowest yield/plant (34.28g) was obtained in Sarjoo-52.

During the year 2021, yield/plant in all the varieties ranged from 35.89g to 46.16g and the average yield/plant of all the varieties was 41.05g. During this year, maximum yield/plant (46.16g) was obtained from variety CR Sugandha Dhan -908 while minimum (35.89g) in CR Sugandha Dhan -907 as presented in (Table 3). The graphs regarding these findings have been shown in Fig. 2, 3, 4.

**Coefficient of variation:** In the present study analysis of variance revealed the existence of significant differences among varieties for all traits studied. The mean, variability estimates *i.e.*, Genetic coefficient of Variation (%), phenotypic coefficient of variation (%), Heritability (%) (Broad sense), Genetic Advance as percent of means are presented in (Table 1). The high estimates (>15%) of phenotypic PCV and genotypic GCV coefficients of variation were recorded in case of Number of tillers/plant PCV=31.44%, (Y1 GCV=30.20% and Y2 PCV=27.94%. GCV=26.88%). PCV=15.94%, Number of grains/panicle (Y1 GCV=15.88% and Y2 PCV=16.65%, GCV=16.47%), Plant height (cm) (Y1 PCV=16.48%, GCV=16.40% and Y2 PCV=16.21%, GCV=16.11%). Moderates estimates (<10%) of PCV and GCV were noted for Days of 50% flowering (Y1 PCV=9.46%, GCV=9.33% and Y2 PCV=9.06%, GCV=8.92%), Panicle length (cm) (Y1 PCV=9.38%, GCV=9.18% and Y2 PCV=9.84%, GCV=9.49%), Seed yield /plant (g) (Y1 PCV=8.12%, GCV=7.66%) and Y2 PCV=8.75%, GCV=8.53%). 1000grain weight (g) (Y1 PCV=7.68%, GCV=7.44% and Y2 PCV=7.47%, GCV=7.21%) as presented in (Table 2). The existence of high variability for above characters in rice has also been reported earlier by Shukla et al. (2005); Aditya and Bhartiya (2013); Ashish et al. (2016); Kumar et al. (2017); Barhate et al. (2021); Singh (2021) findings have been shown in Fig. 1.

Heritability and genetic advance: The high estimates of  $h^2$  broad sense (>80%) were noted for Plant height (cm) (Y1 99.10%) (Y2 98.84%), Number of grains/panicle (Y1 99.22% and Y2 97.94%), days of 50% flowering (Y1 97.21% and Y2 96.92%), Panicle length (cm) (Y1 95.72% and Y2 92.95%), Seed yield /plant (g) (Y1 89.02% and Y2 95.04%), 1000- grain al 14(2a): 13-19(2022) 15 weight (g) (Y1 93.67% and Y2 93.11%) and Number of tillers/plant (Y1 92.23% and Y2 92.55%) as presented in (Table 2). The results obtained under present study are in accordance with earlier reports of Kumar *et al.* (2017); Goswami *et al.* (2018); Kishore *et al.* (2018); Ganapati *et al.* (2020); Rahman *et al.* (2021); Singh

(2021); Singh, (2021). Findings have been shown in Fig. 1. The genetic advance in per cent the very high estimates of (>30%) genetic advance were recorded for Number of tillers/plant (Y1 59.74% and Y2 53.28%), Plant height (cm) (Y1 33.63% and Y2 33.00%), Number of grains/panicle (Y1 32.58% and Y2 33.59%).

Character	year	Mean	Min	Max	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Heritability (%)	GA as 5 % mean
Days of 50% flowering	Y1	106.16	81.67	122.67	9.33	9.46	97.21	18.95
	Y2	106.89	82.00	121.67	8.92	9.06	96.92	18.09
Plant height (cm)	Y1	118.07	90.67	148.73	16.40	16.48	99.10	33.63
	Y2	119.20	92.03	150.80	16.11	16.21	98.84	33.00
Number of tillers/plant	Y1	13.77	9.33	24.33	30.20	31.44	92.23	59.74
	Y2	14.32	10.33	24.33	26.88	27.94	92.55	53.28
Panicle length (cm)	Y1	25.13	21.50	29.97	9.18	9.38	95.72	18.50
	Y2	24.76	21.13	29.20	9.49	9.84	92.95	18.84
Number of grains/panicle	Y1	159.96	128.00	208.00	15.88	15.94	99.22	32.58
	Y2	159.39	125.00	206.00	16.47	16.65	97.94	33.59
1000- grain weight (g)	Y1	23.32	20.00	26.03	7.44	7.68	93.67	14.82
	Y2	23.77	20.77	26.47	7.21	7.47	93.11	14.33
Seed yield /plant (g)	Y1	39.76	34.28	51.30	7.66	8.12	89.02	14.88
	Y2	41.05	35.89	46.16	8.53	8.75	95.04	17.12

Table 2: Variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in rice.

Y1= year 2020, Y2= 2022



Fig. 1. Representing GCV, PCV, genetic advance in percent and h2 (broad sense).





Varieties	year	D of 50% F	PH (cm)	No. of tillers/plant	Panicle length (cm)	No. of grains/panicle	1000- grain weight (g)	Seed yield /plant (g)
NDR - 2064	Y1	89	148.73	13.67	25.8	166	21.6	39.4
	Y2	86.33	149.4	14	26.67	167.67	21.7	41.21
NDD 07	Y1	81.67	106.67	13.33	22.6	175	25.1	39.5
NDK - 97	Y2	82	106.13	12.33	22.63	175.67	24.7	41.2
NDD 8002	Y1	111	141	19	24.27	167.67	24.87	51.3
NDK -8002	Y2	113.67	140.57	18	24.73	167	25.83	43.43
NDD 2112	Y1	105.33	122.33	11.67	26.17	155.67	26.03	38.47
NDR - 5112	Y2	106.33	124.77	12	24.93	156.33	26.47	39.31
Comble Col 1	Y1	110	123.33	10.33	24.27	141.67	25.03	36.45
Samona - Sub 1	Y2	109.67	125.4	11.33	23.7	140.33	24.77	38.36
NIDCD 201	Y1	106.67	108.67	13.67	21.5	129	23.27	38.47
NDGK – 201	Y2	107.33	109.33	14.67	21.27	127.33	23.9	41.06
NDD 050	Y1	100	111.67	14.33	22.87	128	23.97	39.79
NDR – 359	Y2	99.67	114.17	15	22.07	129.33	24.8	41.19
a :	Y1	104.67	116.33	9.33	28.07	175.67	24.4	34.28
Sarjoo -52	Y2	105.33	117.9	10.33	27.07	175	23.9	36.36
	Y1	92	102	11.67	26.9	184	25.27	37.29
HUR-11-3	Y2	95.33	103.53	11.67	27.17	185.33	25.77	39.16
	Y1	101.67	109	10	24.7	147.67	24.37	34.88
HUR-1309	¥2	103.67	109.5	11	24.8	152	25	35.89
-	Y1	94	102	12.67	21.67	129.67	20.37	40.41
HUR-1304	¥2	93.67	102 43	13	21.87	128.67	20.37	43.93
	Y1	110	95.67	21.67	25.87	147.67	24.3	44 1
HUR-105	¥2	109.67	96.33	21.37	25.67	148.33	24.8	46.13
	¥1	91.33	92	24.33	23.47	132.67	22.5	43.95
HUR-3022		102.67	94.13	24.33	23.47	132.07	22.5	45.75
	12 V1	102.07	99	12	23.03	191.07	22.83	37.68
HUR-917	 	103.55	100.67	12	27.33	203.67	25.97	39.31
	12 V1	105.07	100.07	11 33	25.7	169	22.57	35.8
HUBR-2-1	 	107.67	107	12	25.17	165.67	22.05	39.3/
	12 V1	107.07	147.67	11 22	25.02	159.67	20.2	40.41
Nua Dhusara	11 V2	117	147.07	11.33	23.93	156.67	20.3	40.41
	12 V1	115.33	130.8	11.55	24.93	150.67	20.97	43.97
Nua Chinikamini	11 V2	115.33	140	11 22	20.3	159.07	21.33	30.72
	12 V1	110.33	144.9	22	23.23	208	21.7	37.04
Keteki joha	11 V2	112.07	142.33	23	27.4	208	23.03	40.08
CD C 11	12 V1	110	140.7	23.33	27.07	200	24.27	45.72
CR Sugandha	Y1 V2	110.67	90.67	10.33	22.43	133.07	20	35.89
CD G 1	Y2	112	92.03	11.0/	21.13	125	21.1	30.34
CR Sugandha	Y1 V2	114.33	102	1/	22.93	134.07	20.3	40.10
dhan-908	Y2	110.33	101.//	10.07	22.5	131.07	20.77	40.10
Poorna Bhog Geetanjali	Y1 V2	115	126	12.33	29.97	207	22.93	41.1
	¥2	115.33	127.7	13.33	29.2	204.33	23.17	43.07
	Y1 V2	108.67	142	13	27.7	193	23.37	38.9
	¥2	110	144.33	14	27.5	192	23.9	39.99
CR Sugandha	Y I	114	98.67	10.33	21.87	129.33	24.73	36.05
dhan-909	¥2	115.67	101.33	11.67	21.23	126.33	25.07	36.81
CR Sugandha	Y1	115	128	17	24.5	146	23.47	41.83
dhan-910 Nua Kalajeera -	Y2	113	129.63	18.33	24.07	143.67	24.13	45.52
	Y1	122.67	143	11	28.17	181.67	24.6	38.5
	Y2	121.67	144.27	13.33	28.5	184	24.77	41.76
SE(d)	Y1	1.37	1.5	0.99	0.4	1.84	0.37	0.87
	Y2	1.39	1.7	0.89	0.53	3.11	0.38	0.9
CD	Y1	2.76	3.03	1.99	0.8	3.71	0.74	1.75
с. <b>р</b> .	Y2	2.8	3.43	1.8	1.07	6.27	0.77	1.82
CV	Y1	1.58	1.56	8.76	1.94	1.41	1.93	2.67
C.V.	Y2	1.59	1.75	7.63	2.61	2.39	1.96	2.69

Table 3: Mean performance of twenty Five rice genotypes for their yield and grain quality characters.



Fig. 3. Mean performance of eight rice varieties for their yield and grain quality characters.



Fig. 4. Mean performance of nine rice varieties for their yield and grain quality characters.

The moderate estimates of genetic advance (<20% to >10%) were observed for days of 50% flowering (Y1 18.95% and Y2 18.09%), Panicle length (cm) (Y1 18.50% and Y2 18.84%), 1000- grain weight (g) (Y1 14.82% Y2 14.33%) and Seed yield /plant (g) (Y1 14.88 Y2 17.12%) as presented in (Table 2).

Also been reported earlier findings by Dhurai *et al.* (2014); Ashok *et al.* (2016); Khaire *et al.* (2017); Behera *et al.* (2018); Amudha *et al.* (2020). The graphs regarding these findings have been shown in Fig. 1.

### CONCLUSION

The results of the investigation shows high heritability and along with high genetic advance for number of tillers per plant, number of grains per panicle and seed yield per plant, which are the main traits in regard to enhance the yield production in rice. These traits are governed by additive gene effects which indicating that

Salman et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal

improvement in these characters is possible through hybridization followed by selection with pedigree breeding.

Acknowledgment. I am highly thankful to my advisor Dr. S. C. Vimal, Associate Professor, and Dr. S.P. Giri, EX- Rice breeder Department of Seed Science and Technology, ANDUA &T, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, to moral support for complete this research.

Conflict of Interest. None.

## REFERENCES

- Aditya and Bhartiya (2013). Genetic Genetic variability, Correlation and Path Analysis for Quantitative Characters in Rainfed Upland Rice of Uttarakhand Hills. *Journal of Rice Research*, 6, 24-34.
- Amudha, K. and Ariharasutharsan, G. (2020). Genetic variability and interrelationship analysis for quantitative traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm. *Applied Biological Research*, 22(3): 293-299.

14(2a): 13-19(2022)

18

Anonymous (2022). Foreign Agricultural Service/Global Market Analysis, USDA, *World Agriculture Production.* 

- Ashish, S. K., Chauhan, M. P., Maurya, K. N., Verma, O. P., Prasad, S, Mishra, S. K. and Mishra, S. (2016). Studies on genetic variability, heritability and gene advance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under salt affected soil. *International seminar on Indigenous Technologies for sustainable Agriculture and Better Tomorrow*.
- Ashok, S., Jyothula, D. P. B., Babu, D. R., & Rao, V. S. (2016). Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield components and grain quality parameters of rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). The Andhra Agriculture Journal, 63(3): 575-579.
- Barhate, K. K., Jadhav, M. S., & Bhavsar, V. V. (2021). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in aromatic lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 10(3), 360-362
- Behera, B., Sahu, S., Kar, R. K. and Pandey, R. (2018). Studies on genetic variability for some metric traits in slender grain rice genotypes. J. of App. and Natural Sci., 10(1): 375-378.
- Dhurai, S. Y., Bhati, P. K., & Saroj, S. K. (2014). Studies on genetic variability for yield and quality characters in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under integrated fertilizer management. *The Bioscan*, 9(2), 745-748.
- Ganapati, R. K., Rasul, M. G., Sarker, U., Singha, A. and Faruquee, M. (2020).Gene action of yield and yield contributing traits of submergence tolerant rice (*Oryza* sativa L.) in Bangladesh. Bulletin of the National Research Centre, 44(8): 2-7.
- Goswami, A., (2018) Studies on genetic variability for yield and yield traits inrice (*Oryza sativa* L.) International Journal of Plant Sciences, Volume 13 | Issue 1 | January, 2018 | 188-191, in Soyabean. Agronomy Journal, 47(7): 314-318 (1955).
- Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. (1955). Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agronomy Journal, 47: 314-318.
- Khaire, A. R., Kunkerkar, R. L., Thorat, B. S., Gavai, M. P., & Bhave, S. G. (2017). Studies on genetic variability

for yield and yield contributing traits in local rice (Oryza sativa L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 6(5), 1376-1378.

- Kishore, C., Kumar, A., Pal, A., Kumar, V., Prasad, B.D. and Kumar, A. (2018). Character association and path analysis for yield components in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 7(3): 283-291.
- Kumar, A., Rangare, N. R. and Vidyakar, V. (2017). Study of genetic variability of Indian and exotic rice germplasm in Allahabad agroclimate. *The bioscan*, 8(4):1445-1451.
- Priya, T. R., Nelson, A. R. L. E., Ravichandran, K., & Antony, U. (2019). Nutritional and functional properties of coloured rice varieties of South India: a review. *Journal of Ethnic Foods*, 6(1), 1-11.
- Rahman, A. K. M. H., Islam, M. M., Nadim, M. K. A., Akter, S. E., Alim S. M. A. and Noor, M. M. A. (2021), Genetic variability analysis of different yield contributing characters of cultivated T. Aman rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 27(1): 2258-2266.
- Shukla, V., Singh, S., Singh, S. K., & Singh, H. (2005). Estimation of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in "new plant type" tropical japonica rice under upland environment. Agricultural Science Digest, 25(3), 207-209.
- Singh, K. S., Suneetha, Y., Kumar, G. V., Rao, V. S., Raja, D. S., & Srinivas, T. (2021). Variability, correlation and path studies in coloured rice. *International journal of chemical studies*, 8(4), 2138-2144.
- Singh, B. (2021). Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and quality traits in advanced breeding lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 10(8): 1627-1630.
- Singh, S. K., Kumar M. S. C., Korada, M., Khaire, A., Kumar, P. M., Singh, D. K., and Jayasudha, S. (2021). Genetic Variability and Divergence Studies for Yield and its related traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa L*). *Biological Forum – An International Journal 13*(4): 687-695.

**How to cite this article:** Mohammad Salman, S.C. Vimal, Prakriti Chauhan, Mohammad Amir, Arshad Husain and Sony Grace (2022). Evaluation of Genetic variability, Heritability and Genetic Advance for Grain Yield components in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *14*(2a): 13-19.